

Document number: **N2923=09-0113**
Date: 2009-07-15
Project: Programming Language C++
Reference: N2857=09-0047
Reply to: **Alan Talbot**
cpp@alantalbot.com

Specifying the complexity of size() (Revision 1)

Abstract

The current language describing `size()` in the various places it appears is either silent or (deliberately) fuzzy on the subject of complexity. This allows implementations a degree of flexibility, but it is confusing to programmers, and makes it hard to use containers in situations where testing their size is a frequent operation. This paper proposes wording changes to specify the complexity of `size()` everywhere it appears. It addresses National Body comment US 2 (address all open issues) with regard to LWG issue 632 (Time complexity of `size()` for `std::set`).

List

List is a special case because of the problem with `splice`. The range version of `splice()` cannot be $O(1)$ if `size()` is also $O(1)$. I believe that this tradeoff is best taken by specifying `size()` to be $O(1)$. Splicing is useful in some situations, but `size()` is much more likely to be heavily used, and the average programmer is more likely to expect `size()` to take constant time than `splice()`.

An even better argument is that splicing is most important when the contained element types are expensive or impossible to copy. The biggest advantage of `splice` is that it does not copy elements. Traversing a range is $O(n)$ but is very fast (compared to allocating and copy-constructor new objects) so the overall performance of `splice()` is likely to be acceptable even if the implementation does a count to maintain the cached size.

String

`String` (`basic_string`) is also of possible concern, but I believe that most or all current implementations store the size, so I recommend that `basic_string::size()` be specified to be $O(1)$.

Other `size()` functions

There are several other places where `size()` appears. With one exception (where it's pretty obvious that it's a compile-time constant) I have provided language specifying the `size()` function's complexity.

Proposed Wording

Note to the Editor: In all cases I have assumed that the paragraph numbering will be updated below the insertions as needed.

18.9.2 Initializer list access [support.initlist.access]

`size_t size() const;`

5 *Returns*: the number of elements in the array.

6 *Throws*: nothing.

7 *Complexity*: constant time.

20.3.6.2 bitset members [bitset.members]

Since `bitset` is parameterized on a fixed size `N`, and `size()` is specified to return `N`, I did not think it was necessary to specify that `size()` has compile-time complexity. LWG confirmed this in Frankfurt.

21.4.4 basic_string capacity [string.capacity]

`size_type size() const;`

1 *Returns*: a count of the number of char-like objects currently in the string.

2 *Complexity*: constant time.

`size_type length() const;`

3 *Returns*: `size()`.

`size_type max_size() const;`

4 *Returns*: The ~~maximum~~ size of the ~~largest possible~~ string.

5 *Complexity*: constant time.

4 ~~Remark~~: See Container requirements table (23.2).

I removed ¶ 4 (the reference to 23.2) because it did not seem to add anything other than the complexity requirement. LWG confirmed this in Frankfurt.

23.2.1 General container requirements [container.requirements.general]

In ¶ 4, changes to Table 80:

<code>a.size()</code>	<code>size_type</code>	<code>a.end() - a.begin()</code>	(Note A)constant
<code>a.max_size()</code>	<code>size_type</code>	Size() of the largest possible container	(Note A)constant

Note to the Editor: Typo in ¶ 5:

5 The member function `size()` returns the number of elements in the container. Its semantics ~~is~~are defined by the rules of constructors, inserts, and erases.

26.5.7.1 Class seed_seq [rand.util.seedseq]

`size_t size() const;`

7 *Returns*: The number of 32-bit units that would be ~~be~~ returned by a call to `param()`.

8 *Complexity*: constant time.

26.6.2.7 valarray member functions [valarray.members]

```
size_t size() const;
```

- 4 ~~This function returns~~
Returns: ~~t~~The number of elements in the array.

- 5 Complexity: constant time.

Note to the Editor: There are a number of other functions in this section that do not conform to the normal function definition style.

26.6.4.2 slice access functions [slice.access]

```
size_t start() const;
size_t size() const;
size_t stride() const;
```

- 1 ~~These functions return~~
Returns: ~~t~~The start, length, or stride specified by a slice object.

- 2 Complexity: constant time.

26.6.6.2 gslice access functions [gslice.access]

```
size_t start() const;
valarray<size_t> size() const;
valarray<size_t> stride() const;
```

- 1 ~~These access functions return~~
Returns: ~~t~~The representation of the start, lengths, or strides specified for the gslice.

- 2 Complexity: start() is constant time. size() and stride() are linear in the number of strides.

Note to the Editor: The language used in ¶ 1 is not quite the same as used in § 26.6.4.2 ¶ 1 above.

28.11.2 match_results size [re.results.size]

The complexity of match_results::size() will now be specified to be constant because match_results satisfies the requirements of a constant sequence container. LWG confirmed this in Frankfurt.

Index – p. 1326

Note to the Editor: Both size and size() appear in the index. This seems like a typo.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Howard Hinnant for thoughts on list::size() and size() complexity in general. Thanks to Walter Brown for random help. Thanks to Daniel Krügler for pointing out an oversight with gslice.