

# P0591r0 | Utility functions to implement uses-allocator construction

Pablo Halpern [phalpern@halpernwightsoftware.com](mailto:phalpern@halpernwightsoftware.com)

2017-02-05 | Target audience: LEWG

## 1 Abstract

The phrase “*Uses-allocator construction* with allocator `Alloc`” is defined in section [`allocator.uses.construction`] of the standard (20.7.7.2 of the 2014 standard or 20.10.7.2 of the 2016 CD). Although the definition is reasonably concise, it fails to handle the case of constructing a `std::pair` where one or both members can use `Alloc`. This omission manifests in significant text describing the `construct` members of `polymorphic_allocator` [memory.polymorphic\_allocator.class] and `scoped_allocator_adaptor` [allocator.adaptor]. Additionally, a `vector<pair<T,U>, A>` fails to pass the allocator to the pair elements if `A` is a scoped or polymorphic allocator.

Though we could add the `pair` special case to the definition of *Uses-allocator construction*, the definition would no longer be concise. Moreover, any library implementing features that rely on *Uses-allocator construction* would necessarily centralize the logic into a function template. This paper, therefore, proposes a set of templates that do exactly that, in the standard. The current uses of *Uses-allocator construction* could then simply defer to these templates, making those features simpler to describe and future-proof against other changes.

Because this proposal modifies wording in the standard, it is targeted at C++20 (aka, C++Next) rather than a technical specification.

## 2 Choosing a direction

Originally, I considered proposing a pair of function templates, `make_using_allocator<T>(allocator, args...)` and `uninitialized_construct_using_allocator(ptrToT, allocator, args...)`. However, Implementation experience with the feature being proposed showed that, given a type `T`, an allocator `A`, and an argument list `Args...`, it was convenient to generate a `tuple` of the final argument list for `T`'s constructor, then use `make_from_tuple` or `apply` to implement the above function templates. It occurred to me that exposing this `tuple`-building function may be desirable, as it opens the door to an entire category of functions that use `tuples` to manipulate argument lists in a composable fashion.

The decision before the LEWG (assuming the basics of this proposal are accepted) would be whether to:

1. Standardize the function template that generates a `tuple` of arguments.
2. Standardize the function templates that actually construct a `T` from an allocator and list of arguments.
3. Both.

## 3 Proposed wording

The following wording is still rough. More detailed wording to come after LEWG review and revision. Wording is relative to the November 2016 Committee Draft, N5131.

---

Add the following new function templates to <memory>:

```
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args);
```

*Returns:* A tuple value determined as follows:

- if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is false and `is_constructible_v<T, Args...>` is true, return `make_tuple(std::forward<Args>(args)...)`.
- otherwise, if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true and `is_constructible_v<T, allocator_arg_t, Alloc, Args...>` is true, return `make_tuple(allocator_arg, alloc, std::forward<Args>(args)...)`.
- otherwise, if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true and `is_constructible_v<T, Args..., Alloc>` is true, return `make_tuple(std::forward<Args>(args)..., alloc)`.
- otherwise, the program is ill-formed. [Note: An error will result if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true but the specific constructor does not take an allocator. This definition prevents a silent failure to pass the allocator to a constructor. — end note]

**Editorial note:** The following are specializations for T being `pair<T1,T2>`. They are not in the correct form for a specialization/overload because of the absence of partial specialization for functions. This detail will be corrected in the next version of this paper.

```
template <class T1, class T2, class... Args1, class... Args2>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a,
                                       piecewise_construct_t,
                                       tuple<Args1...> x,
                                       tuple<Args2...> y);
```

*Returns:* Equivalent to

```
make_tuple(piecewise_construct,
          apply(x, [](Args1... args1){
              uses_allocator_construction_args<T1>(a,
                  std::forward<Args1>(args1)...);
          }),
          apply(y, [](Args2... args2){
              uses_allocator_construction_args<T2>(a,
                  std::forward<Args2>(args2)...);
          }));
template <class T1, class T2>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a);
```

*Returns:* `uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, tuple<>(), tuple<>())`

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, U&& u, V&& v);
```

---

*Returns:* `uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, forward_as_tuple(std::forward<U>(u)), forward_as_tuple(std::forward<V>(v))).`

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, const pair<U,V>& pr);
```

*Returns:* `uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, forward_as_tuple(pr.first), forward_as_tuple(pr.second)).`

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, pair<U,V>&& pr);
```

*Returns:* `uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, forward_as_tuple(std::forward<U>(pr.first)), forward_as_tuple(std::forward<V>(pr.second))).`

```
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T make_using_allocator(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args)
```

*Returns:* equivalent to

```
return make_from_tuple<T>(
    uses_allocator_construction_args<T>(a, forward<Args>(args)...));
```

```
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T* uninitialized_construct_using_allocator(T* p,
                                         const Alloc& a,
                                         Args&&... args)
```

*Returns:* equivalent to

```
return uninitialized_construct_from_tuple(
    p,
    uses_allocator_construction_args<T>(a, forward<Args>(args)...));
```

Additionally, rewrite the `construct` methods of `polymorphic_allocator` and `scoped_allocator_adaptor` in terms of the above.

Consider replacing all uses of `uses_allocator_construction` with references to these functions and removing `uses_allocator_construction` from the standard.